

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,031	11/17/2003	Qi Zhao	134666-1	1030	
41838 7	590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER		
GENERAL E	LECTRIC COMPANY (	PCPI)	BRUCE, DAVID VERNON		
P. O. BOX 692			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, T	X 77269-2289		2882		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/23/2006	e	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11/2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10
	10/707,031	ZHAO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David V. Bruce	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum status Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, i ication. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6), by statute, cause the application to become.	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on ·		
	)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	r allowance except for formal		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7-10 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction. Application Papers	withdrawn from consideratio		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the I  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 08 December 2  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the second of the s	2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted on to the drawing(s) be held in a ne correction is required if the drawing	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received ocuments have been received the priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National \$	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20031117, 20031124.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	D-948) Pap FO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,031 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1- and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "accurate forward projection" is indefinite as it is unclear what constitutes and accurate forward projection as opposed to a regular forward projection, since it is neither defined in the claims nor in the specification. As such, any forward projection will be considered as accurate enough.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zeng et al. US 6,381,349 B1. Zeng et al. shows all of the features of the instant invention including iterative computed tomographic reconstruction accounting for scatter and using maximum likelihood (abstract, column 2 lines 20-36, column 5 lines 13-45, and column 7)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,031 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-10 and 19 are allowed.

6. Claims 11-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

7. Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: .in

the claims as claimed, claims 2-4 address an iterative computed tomography reconstruction

method using forward projection and either polychromatic x-ray modeling or finite beamwidth

considerations in the forward projection, and claims 7-19 address a method or computer program

for iterative computed tomography reconstruction where prior outer edge information from a

modality in addition to CT is used along with transformation to a projection domain where a

corrected or correction image is created from the measured and calculated sinograms. These

features are neither shown nor fairly suggested in the prior art.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Wu et al. US 2005/0105679 A1 shows increased accuracy by using cone-beam

forward projection, Edic et al. US 2003/0103666 A1 shows an iterative CT reconstruction, and

De Man et al. US 6,724,856 B2 shows iterative CT.

Art Unit: 2882

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David V. Bruce whose telephone number is (571) 272-2487. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th and alt Fri 8:00 - 4:30 subject to I-Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David V Bruce Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882

dvb